1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18 19

20 21

23 24

22

25

26 27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ALLAN MOORE,

٧.

Plaintiff,

CLARK COUNTY CORONER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00655-MMD-BNW

ORDER

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Brenda N. Weksler recommending that this case be dismissed for Plaintiff Allan Moore's failure to update his address. (ECF No. 6.) In light of Plaintiff's failure to provide an updated address, it is no surprise that he has not filed an objection to the R&R, although he had until June 18, 2025, to do so. The Court will adopt the R&R in full and dismiss this case.

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails to object to a magistrate's recommendation, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); see also United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) ("De novo review of the magistrate judges' findings and recommendations is required if, but only if, one or both parties file objections to the findings and recommendations.") (emphasis in original). Here, the Court finds Judge Weksler did not clearly err in recommending dismissal of this action in light of Plaintiff's failure to comply with her order to update his address as he is required to do under LR IA 3-1.

¹The Court's last two orders were returned as undeliverable. (ECF Nos. 5, 7.)